

# Measuring Durability

Edward Garboczi  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Applied Chemicals and Materials Division  
Boulder, Colorado USA

# Vision of concrete materials

- At any geographical location, in any climate, with any local materials
- Make concrete that has the performance required for the specified job
  - “Performance” includes any mechanical, chemical, permeability, durability, and service life required for the desired use

# Extremely Durable Concretes and Cementitious Materials

- 16 projects in program
- 10 are for new materials intended to be more durable
- 1 is on measurement of thermodynamic and kinetic parameters related to curing and long-term durability
- My talk is directed towards these 11

# How do we measure concrete durability?

- Not a direct measurement like diffusivity, electrical resistivity, or compressive strength
- Prediction, based on measured parameters and some knowledge of various threshold limits
  - The uncertainty in a prediction certainly far exceeds any uncertainty in measured parameters
- Put simply: we cannot routinely measure whether a new concrete material has increased durability
  - One reason – concrete is a complex random porous composite
  - Second reason – expected service life is on the order of decades, far beyond what we expect of most materials exposed to the environment
- And for a new material, we need to ask:
  - Do standard tests and prediction techniques apply?
  - “New material” includes regular concrete with waste materials dumped into it

RILEM State-of-the-Art Reports

2016

Hans Beushausen  
Luis Fernandez Luco *Editors*

# Performance-Based Specifications and Control of Concrete Durability

State-of-the-Art Report  
RILEM TC 230-PSC



 Springer

RILEM TC 230-PSC was focused on corrosion of reinforcement via intrusion through cover concrete

No apparent US members, finished in 2016

“Performance-based” means choosing and measuring parameters that will affect service life

“Prescriptive-based” means specifying the exact materials thought to be needed to achieve the desired service life

# Durability: corrosion of reinforcing steel

- Measure all the possible rates for chloride ions to penetrate concrete cover
  - Diffusivity, capillary suction
- Measure/predict all the ways that these rates could change over time
  - Cracking susceptibility, environment
- Service life or durability **prediction** based on how long it will take to get the chloride at the steel to be at the level needed to initiate corrosion
- Change chloride level needed for corrosion
  - Type of steel used – increase chloride threshold needed to initiate corrosion
  - Polymer composite reinforcing rods – remove corrosion as a failure mechanism

# Durability due to chemical deterioration

- We don't directly measure rates of chemical deterioration
- Only try to ensure that chosen materials don't degrade in certain ways
  - Assuring aggregate will not undergo appreciable alkali-silica reaction
  - Assuring cement will not undergo appreciable sulfate attack
- ASTM standard measurement is to make a mortar bar and put it in bucket with higher amounts of the chemical of interest and wait six months (or longer) for mechanical deterioration
  - And shorter tests always need to be confirmed with longer tests
- Note: new material could pass this test by not having this kind of reactivity
- These tests are empirical

# What are we missing?

- Is there any way to directly measure “durability?” Others might think of how to do this - I can’t
- “Durability” is a complex phenomenon that cannot be simply experimentally measured, since concrete is a complex material
- Two ways of making progress
- Accelerated measurements
- Accurate/fast/basic models, which need material characterization and fundamental thermokinetic data



# Accelerated durability tests

- Standard approach for many materials, e.g. polymer films and UV irradiation, metal fatigue tests
- Must be careful to: (1) accelerate without changing the mechanism, and (2) have a validated superposition principle like time-temperature for polymers
- Might be able to do some acceleration of this type for concrete without changing mechanisms but only a modest amount
- Not nearly enough for measuring/predicting service lives of 100 years

# “Accelerated” measurements

- Some “acceleration” in concrete measurements can be done using materials science
- To measure ASR susceptibility of aggregates, why make a mortar bar and slow down the measurement with ions slowly diffusing through the cement paste matrix?
- Test aggregates directly – limited amount of work down on this but should be feasible – needs some basic research on ASR mechanisms and careful characterization of aggregate mineralogy
  - Some work has been done on this: Dan Zollinger, Texas A&M

# “Accelerated” measurements

- When measuring the effect of sulfate attack on cement, the mortar bar confounds the measurement with slow sulfate ion diffusivity/reactivity through the large-ish (3 cm x 3 cm x 25 cm) bar
- Why not make very small bars of cement paste and test those directly?
  - Work of Chiara Ferraris, NIST



# “Accelerated” measurements

- There are probably other ways to do this kind of materials-science-based acceleration
- Note – these are not really “accelerated” tests, where a superposition principle can be used to extend the results out to N years
- Rather, they are much faster and more accurate ways of measuring durability parameters, still empirical but much faster
- Still have the problem of predicting durability, but may have reduced testing time from months to weeks or days
  - The durability prediction part is usually much faster than measuring parameters

# Use of models

- The whole point of NIST modeling work 1989-2017 was to develop accurate multi-scale microstructural models that could be used to perform accelerated, accurate aging
- Developing the multi-scale microstructural models was hard and data was missing
- Some pieces are still in use around the world
  - CEMHYD3D, HydratiCA, Anm, VCCTL
- Current models are not good enough and lack data for accurate aging/durability prediction
- This is still a viable route but will need a substantial new, long-term (~10 years, 5-10 people) investment to develop these models - if needed data can be generated...

# Data: Dissolution, Reaction and Growth

- Mechanistic knowledge for mineral dissolution, reactions, diffusion, and growth
  - For single materials and for complex mixtures
- Mechanistic knowledge of how chemical admixtures work
- The nice thing about this data is that it is “once and done” since these are fundamental parameters

# Data: Characterization of materials

- Need to identify what needs to be characterized – implies detailed knowledge of chemistry and reactions/kinetics
  - e.g., I am sure that judging fly ash to be Class C vs. Class F is not detailed enough
- Need standard, validated, fast methods to measure quantities that models can actually use
  - e.g., slump test vs. rheology measurements calibrated by NIST SRMs
- Need to characterize aggregate mineralogy
- The only way to do this is through better knowledge of fundamental chemistry and physics

# Thermokinetic Database

- When a new material is encountered, and proves useful, once it is characterized it would go into a national/international thermokinetic data base
- NIST can host such a database – we already do many others
- Or perhaps just build on growing databases at EMPA and Paul Scherrer Institute in Switzerland



# Summary of key points

- Vision of concrete materials work: at any geographical location, in any climate, with any local materials, make concrete that has the performance required for the specified job
- Durability is not a direct measurement but is better described as a prediction
- We cannot simply and routinely measure whether a new concrete material has increased durability
- Two ways of making progress: accelerated measurements and accurate/fast/basic models combined with material characterization and fundamental thermokinetic data



**The scientist does not aim at an immediate result and does not expect that his/her advanced ideas will be readily taken up. His/her work is like that of the planter -- for the future, whose duty is to lay the foundation for those who are to come and point the way.**

**- Nikola Tesla,  
physicist, engineer, and  
inventor (slightly edited)**